UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,954	09/15/2003	Syed Mohammad Amir Husain	5602-12300	1057
Jeffrey C. Hood	7590 03/24/200 	EXAMINER		
	od, Kivlin, Kowert & C	DENNISON, JERRY B		
Austin, TX 787	67		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,954	HUSAIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jerry Dennison	2143	

	Certy Berningen	2140
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	PR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		il be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		•
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached. 		n condition for allowance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Nathan J. Flynn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2154		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

The prosecution for this case has been transferred to another Examiner. All corresponding communications should be directed towards Examiner's contact information, provided below.

Applicant argues the prior art did not disclose wherein the portable message comprises metadata which comprise identifying characteristics of the source application.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Examiner was unable to locate in Applicant's Specification where Applicant defines what "identifying characteristics of the source application" includes. Therefore the limitation is interpreted broadly as explained in the Flnal Rejection. Applicant is invited to provide further explanation of what the phrase means, with reference to Applicant's Specification.

Applicant argues that the prior art did not disclose routing the portable message to a target application on the second computer system based on the metadata.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As Applicant is surely aware, meta-data, in its broadest sense is defined as "data about data" i.e. definitional data that provides information about or documentation of other data managed within an application or environment

As Applicant points out, "Abjanic discloses that the message may be directed or switched 'based on the application data or XML data" (See Applicant's Arguments, page 4, last paragraph). Abjanic explicitly disclosed "XML application data" also referred to as XML document, which includes markup characters (or tags) that described data, and data characters" As such, this XML data includes metadata. See Abjanic, col. 5, lines 5-14.

Applicant lastly argues that Abjanic fails to teach routing the portable message based on metadata comprising identifying characteristics of the source application.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As explained above, Abjanic explicitly disclosed "XML application data". Clearly XML application data includes identifying characteristics of the source application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571) 272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/J.D./
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2143